Spatial Lighting Considerations to Develop Sustainable Lighting Design

Pooya Lotfabadi [1*]

[1] Faculty of Architecture and Fine Arts, Final International University, Çatalköy, Girne 99370, Türkiye.

* Correspondence: pooya.lotfabadi@final.edu.tr

Abstract: This study explores the dual role of lighting design within the broader framework of sustainability, emphasizing the need to balance technical efficiency with the subjective human experience of space. Light functions not only as a quantifiable physical phenomenon but also as a symbolic medium that shapes perception, emotion, and cultural meaning. Drawing on the metaphor of an iceberg to illustrate the layered nature of lighting, the research highlights how its often-overlooked qualitative aspects, such as spatial identity, emotional resonance, and user experience, are integral to sustainable design. Through a qualitative descriptive methodology supported by extensive theoretical analysis, the study examines how lighting can be used as a communicative and interpretive tool to enrich architectural environments. The findings underscore the importance of integrating both measurable performance criteria and experiential design strategies to achieve holistic and human-centered sustainability in built spaces.

Keywords: Sustainable lighting design, Spatial perception, Emotional resonance, Architectural atmosphere, Human-centered sustainability.

1. Introduction

In the age of climate change and increasing environmental awareness, sustainability has emerged as one of the most critical challenges facing contemporary societies. Environmental degradation, urbanization, and rising energy demands have placed immense pressure on the built environment to evolve toward more sustainable practices [1, 2]. Within this broader context, architecture and interior design are uniquely positioned to minimize ecological footprints while enhancing occupant well-being and spatial quality [3, 4]. As integral components of design, lighting systems play a pivotal role in this transition, not only as functional and technological elements but also as emotional and perceptual drivers of experience [5, 6].

Traditionally, lighting design has centered on energy efficiency, visibility, and compliance with regulatory standards. Yet this perspective, while important, addresses only the visible "tip of the iceberg." In today's built environments, saturated by artificial light, digital displays, and 24/7 illumination, a reevaluation of lighting's broader role is urgently needed [7, 8]. We must shift our understanding from lighting as merely a technical intervention toward lighting as an active agent of spatial expression, cultural meaning, and psychological well-being. In this way, light transcends its functional role to become a language, one that speaks to the rhythms, emotions, and stories embedded in place [9, 10].

Light is the medium through which architecture becomes legible. It reveals textures, frames perspectives, and defines the temporal and sensory conditions of space. Studies have shown that light influences circadian rhythms, mood, cognitive performance, and even social behavior [11, 12]. Therefore, sustainable lighting must address both environmental concerns and human-centric needs. It must bridge the measurable and the ineffable: lux and lumens, but also atmosphere and identity [13, 14].

As Norberg-Schulz (1980) posits in his theory of place, spatial meaning emerges not solely from physical form, but from the experience it enables [15]. Light, in this sense, becomes a “phenomenological” tool, allowing users to dwell meaningfully within their environments. This interpretive capacity of light has been further echoed by architectural theorists such as Juhani Pallasmaa (2005), who emphasize the multisensory, embodied nature of architectural experience. Consequently, lighting must be reconsidered not merely as a set of quantitative outputs, but as a cultural and experiential phenomenon [16-18].

This paper explores this dual nature of light using the metaphor of an iceberg: the visible portion representing the technical, energy-focused aspects of lighting, and the submerged mass symbolizing its emotive, symbolic, and psychological dimensions. By integrating insights from lighting design, architectural phenomenology, environmental psychology, and sustainability studies, we aim to propose a holistic framework for lighting in interior environments, one that honors both ecological efficiency and human experience.

2. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative descriptive methodology, which prioritizes interpretive and contextual analysis over numerical quantification. Such an approach is particularly suited to the investigation of spatial and experiential phenomena, such as lighting design. The core data collection method consisted of an extensive literature review of peer-reviewed journal articles, architectural case studies, lighting design guidelines, and interdisciplinary research in environmental psychology, neuroscience, and sustainability studies. Sources were selected based on their relevance to three primary themes: (1) sustainable lighting technologies and strategies, (2) human-centered lighting and spatial psychology, and (3) integrative and interdisciplinary design processes [19, 20].

The review process involved thematic coding and cross-case synthesis, allowing the researcher to identify recurring principles, emerging best practices, and conceptual gaps in current design methodologies. These findings were then interpreted through the lens of architectural theory, user-centered design, and sustainable development goals (SDGs), with a particular emphasis on experiential quality, well-being, and cognitive performance in built environments, subjective perception, cultural context, and emotional resonance play critical support a holistic understanding of lighting in architectural spaces, the study integrates theoretical and practical knowledge from architecture, biology, lighting engineering, and environmental psychology. This interdisciplinary framework aligns with contemporary sustainability paradigms that recognize the complex, dynamic relationship between built form, environmental systems, and human behavior [19, 21].

This methodology is particularly relevant for examining educational, healthcare, and residential spaces, where lighting directly influences circadian rhythms, mood, productivity, and overall well-being. By synthesizing insights across disciplines, the research aims to move beyond prescriptive lighting standards and contribute to more responsive, adaptable, and human-centered design strategies.

3. Discussion

3.1 The Interdisciplinary Nature of Lighting and Sustainability

Sustainability in architecture is not a singular or technical challenge but rather a multidimensional, interdisciplinary pursuit. It intersects ecological imperatives with human well-being, cultural meaning, material performance, and evolving technological systems [22, 23]. Within this complexity, lighting design emerges as a particularly rich and revealing domain, positioned at the nexus of energy use, spatial quality, and user experience.

Traditionally, as Ganslandt and Hofmann (1992) observe, lighting design has prioritized quantitative performance metrics; illuminance (lux), luminance, color temperature, and energy consumption, forming the backbone of lighting engineering. These parameters are critical for achieving technical efficiency, ensuring visibility, and complying with regulatory and sustainability frameworks (e.g., LEED, WELL, BREEAM). However, such metrics do not account for the experiential and emotional dimensions of lighting, which are fundamental to how humans perceive, inhabit, and remember space [24].

Indeed, people do not engage with light purely as a physical phenomenon. We feel light, its warmth in a sunlit room, its transitions across the day, its psychological impact in shaping mood, circadian rhythms, and spatial identity [25, 26]. Natural light, for instance, is inherently dynamic and serves as both an environmental regulator and a poetic medium, offering temporal variation that supports biophilic connection and perceptual comfort [27].

To be truly sustainable, lighting design must transcend technocratic boundaries and incorporate these intangible, qualitative elements. This necessitates a collaborative and interdisciplinary mindset: architects, lighting designers, engineers, environmental psychologists, and even neuroscientists must work together to formulate lighting strategies that are as humane as they are efficient. This integration is especially important in typologies such as healthcare, education, and residential design, where lighting directly influences psychological well-being, productivity, and healing processes [12].

Moreover, light functions as a narrative and symbolic element in architectural design. It contributes to atmosphere, spatial hierarchy, and cultural resonance. For instance, dimmed, warm-toned lighting in sacred spaces invokes reverence and introspection, while crisp, evenly distributed lighting in libraries may support concentration and mental clarity. These symbolic and narrative functions are vital in producing spatial meaning, reinforcing the argument that lighting is not merely a technical discipline, but a critical part of architectural storytelling [9, 28].

In conclusion, the interdisciplinary nature of sustainable lighting demands that designers engage both the measurable and the immeasurable: balancing efficiency with experience, performance with perception, and strategy with story. A truly sustainable lighting design is one that not only reduces environmental impact but also enhances the sensory, psychological, and cultural experience of space.

3.2 The Iceberg Metaphor in Lighting Design

To conceptualize the layered complexity of lighting in architecture, the iceberg metaphor offers a powerful visual and analytical tool. The metaphor illustrates that while certain technical aspects of lighting, such as luminaire type, wattage, luminous efficacy, and Color Rendering Index (CRI), are visible and easily quantifiable, they represent only the tip of the iceberg. These metrics are typically prioritized by engineers, architects, and project stakeholders seeking compliance with energy regulations and sustainability certification systems [29, 30].

However, just as the majority of an iceberg's mass lies submerged beneath the water's surface, a substantial and often neglected dimension of lighting design exists beneath the technical surface. This hidden domain comprises subjective, cultural, and phenomenological elements: emotional resonance, atmospheric quality, symbolic meaning, and perceptual response. These factors, though less tangible, are critical to the success and sustainability of lighting design, particularly in human-centered environments such as schools, healthcare facilities, museums, and homes [31].

Neglecting these deeper layers can lead to lighting schemes that, while energy-efficient, are psychologically sterile, disorienting, or lacking in spatial identity. For example, a uniform, high-efficiency lighting plan that fails to consider contrast, rhythm, or warmth may meet regulatory standards but ultimately reduce occupant comfort and emotional connection to the space [32, 33].

3.2.1 The Submerged Layers: Light as Narrative and Atmosphere

Scholars such as Du (2011) and Innes (2012) emphasize that lighting is not simply about seeing, but about feeling and understanding space [34, 35]. Light can narrate transitions, highlight thresholds, and construct spatial hierarchies. For instance, dimming light in transitional zones such as corridors or foyers and brightening activity areas can intuitively guide users, marking progression and encouraging orientation without the need for physical boundaries. In this sense, light becomes a spatial narrator, a non-verbal communicator that articulates use, hierarchy, and mood.

These submerged dimensions of lighting also intersect with cultural interpretation. In sacred or ceremonial architecture, for example, the interplay of shadow and light often carries symbolic weight, signifying the divine, marking the passage of time, or expressing cultural memory [28]. Likewise, in domestic interiors, warm lighting often connotes intimacy and security, while cooler, diffused light may evoke formality or institutional neutrality. These associations are shaped by both personal experience and cultural conditioning, and are essential for creating meaningful spaces.

3.2.2 Toward Holistic Lighting: Embracing Both Realms

The iceberg metaphor invites lighting designers, architects, and educators to transcend the binary between performance and perception. Rather than viewing technical and qualitative factors as oppositional, this model encourages an integrative approach—where measurable parameters are used as foundations for the expressive, atmospheric, and narrative roles of lighting [9, 36]. By acknowledging the submerged portion of the lighting iceberg, designers can craft spaces that are both energy-efficient and experientially rich, aligning sustainability with emotional intelligence. In sum, the iceberg metaphor serves not only as a didactic model but also as a call to action: to expand lighting design education, research, and practice to fully encompass both what light does and what light means.

3.3 Lighting as Spatial Language

Light is more than a utility; it is a language that architects and designers use to write stories in space. Karlen et al. (2012) argue that light influences how we interpret spatial geometry, materiality, and orientation. In this way, lighting becomes an architectural syntax, articulating the mood and identity of a space [37].

For example, uplighting can emphasize height and openness, while downlighting may create intimacy and focus. Shadows are equally important, offering contrast and definition. The interplay between light and shadow shapes our understanding of space, just as punctuation defines the rhythm of a sentence [38-40].

Furthermore, lighting can act as a cultural medium. Warm light often evokes feelings of comfort and tradition, while cool light suggests modernity and efficiency. These associations are deeply embedded in our collective consciousness and must be considered in sustainable design.

3.4 Technical Considerations in Sustainable Lighting

The measurable aspects of lighting design are crucial for sustainability. These include (table 1):

Color temperature: Measured in Kelvin (K), this affects the psychological feel of a space. Cooler temperatures (5000K+) are suitable for workplaces, while warmer temperatures (2700K-3000K) are preferred for residential or relaxing environments [41, 42].

CRI: A high Color Rendering Index (above 80) ensures that colors appear natural under artificial light [43, 44].

Luminous efficacy: The ratio of light output to energy input. Higher efficacy means more sustainable lighting [45].

Lighting controls: Timers, sensors, and dimmers help reduce energy use by adjusting lighting levels to occupancy and daylight availability [45, 46].

Glare control: Managing direct and reflected glare is essential for visual comfort [47].

Designers must also follow standards such as those provided by the Illuminating Engineering Society (IES) and certifications like LEED.

Table 1: A comparison of measurable technical metrics and subjective experiential qualities in lighting design.

Technical Metrics	**Experiential Qualities**
Illuminance (lux)	Spatial atmosphere
Luminous efficacy (lm/W)	Emotional resonance
Color Temperature (Kelvin)	Warmth, alertness, psychological comfort
CRI (Color Rendering Index)	Perception of color authenticity
Glare Control Index	Visual comfort, eye strain reduction
Uniformity Ratio	Wayfinding and spatial clarity
Lighting Control Systems	Flexibility and user agency

3.5 Subjective Qualities and the Emotional Dimension

The human experience of light is deeply subjective. Gordon (2003) points out that poorly lit environments can evoke discomfort, confusion, or even fear. Conversely, thoughtful lighting enhances psychological well-being, productivity, and aesthetic appreciation [48, 49].

For example, highlighting architectural features through wall washing or accent lighting can foster a sense of wonder and appreciation. Layered lighting schemes can guide users through spaces intuitively, creating a rhythm that supports both orientation and narrative flow [49]. These subjective qualities are especially important in cultural, religious, and domestic settings, where emotional resonance is paramount. Thus, designers must learn to "see with the heart", as Saint-Exupéry might say, acknowledging the poetic dimensions of illumination.

3.6 The Role of Natural Light in Sustainable Design

Natural light, or daylighting, is a foundational component of sustainable architectural design. Beyond its capacity to reduce reliance on artificial lighting and decrease energy consumption, daylighting plays a critical role in enhancing human health, cognitive function, and emotional well-being [12, 50]. It is one of the few design strategies that simultaneously addresses ecological performance and psychophysiological benefit, making it indispensable to any holistic lighting strategy.

3.6.1 Environmental and Health Benefits of Daylighting

Multiple studies have demonstrated that exposure to natural light positively impacts circadian entrainment, mood regulation, and productivity, especially in educational and workplace settings [21, 51]. In classrooms and offices, increased daylight exposure has been correlated with reduced absenteeism, improved task performance, and enhanced alertness, effects linked to the biological influence of light on melatonin suppression and serotonin production [12].

From an environmental standpoint, daylighting reduces electricity demand during peak hours, contributing to reduced carbon emissions and lower operational costs. Passive solar gain in winter months also contributes to thermal comfort, while intelligent shading and glazing systems can mitigate summer overheating and glare, underscoring the dual role of daylight in energy and comfort optimization [52].

3.6.2 Environmental and Health Benefits of Daylighting

Effective daylighting is not simply a function of window area. It requires careful and context-specific consideration of multiple architectural variables, including building orientation, glazing transmittance, window-to-wall ratio, shading systems, and interior reflectivity [8]. Overexposure can lead to excessive glare or solar heat gain, while poor penetration may result in spatial imbalance and dark zones.

To modulate and distribute daylight effectively, designers often utilize architectural devices such as:

● Clerestory windows: which introduce high-angle light and allow deeper penetration into the interior,

● Light shelves: which redirect sunlight upward toward the ceiling, enhancing diffuse illumination,

● Reflective surfaces: such as pale floors or ceiling finishes, which help bounce natural light into shaded areas,

● Solar-responsive facades: including louvers and kinetic shading systems that dynamically respond to sun angles and weather conditions.

These tools not only optimize daylight availability but also support visual comfort and temporal awareness, enriching spatial experience through dynamic light and shadow throughout the day.

3.6.3 Daylight as a Spatial Narrative Device

Unlike artificial lighting, natural light introduces temporal variability into the architectural environment. It changes in intensity, color temperature, and angle throughout the day and across seasons, creating rhythms and atmospheres that are both poetic and biologically beneficial. This diurnal dynamism fosters a connection to nature and time, supporting the broader principles of biophilic design and spatial storytelling [27].

When effectively integrated with artificial lighting, daylight can enable a dual interpretation of space, one that transitions smoothly between the functional clarity of artificial light and the emotional resonance of natural light. For instance, in a gallery or museum, daylight might be used to emphasize calm and authenticity during the day, while accent lighting takes over at night to dramatize form and focus. This interplay supports both energy efficiency and user-centered design, reinforcing the need for adaptive, hybrid lighting strategies [53].

3.6.4 Toward a Responsive Daylighting Framework

To fully realize the potential of daylighting, it must be incorporated early in the architectural design process, not as a supplemental feature but as a primary design generator. Building information modeling (BIM) tools, daylight autonomy simulations (e.g., DIVA, ClimateStudio), and real-time environmental analysis now allow designers to predict and optimize daylight conditions during concept development.

Ultimately, sustainable lighting design requires not only efficient luminaires but also a deeper understanding of how light behaves, how it is perceived, and how it changes over time. Daylighting, as a naturally dynamic system, invites a richer, more responsive engagement with space, an engagement that is essential to the future of sustainable, human-centric design [54].

3.7 Spatial Strategies for Effective Lighting

Lighting in interior architecture is far more than a functional requirement; it is a spatial instrument that shapes perception, evokes emotion, and mediates between technical performance and experiential quality [55]. To fully harness the metaphorical and technical potentials of lighting, designers must apply spatial strategies that respond both to architectural geometry and human psychology. These strategies, enriching, defining, and highlighting, form a triadic framework that enables lighting to operate as an expressive and sustainable design element.

3.7.1 Enriching: Depth and Atmosphere through Light Variation

The enriching strategy aims to elevate the sensory experience of a space by manipulating color temperature, light intensity, direction, and texture. This approach enhances spatial depth, perceptual richness, and atmospheric layering. Variation in color temperature, for example, can articulate temporal rhythms, cooler tones (5000K+) may stimulate alertness and focus during the day, while warmer tones (2700–3000K) evoke comfort and intimacy in the evening hours [21, 56]. Dynamic lighting that mimics circadian rhythms has been linked to improved well-being and cognitive performance, especially in workplaces and learning environments [12].

Moreover, light modulation can emphasize materials, textures, and surfaces, providing nuanced visual cues that enrich the user's sensory interaction with space. This spatial enhancement supports the aesthetic and psychological value of lighting as a design material rather than a mere technical tool.

3.7.2 Defining: Spatial Hierarchies through Light-Based Zoning

Lighting can define architectural zones and circulation paths without relying on physical barriers. By altering light intensity, color, and beam spread, designers can demarcate subspaces, such as transition areas, activity zones, or resting spots, within open-plan environments. This is particularly relevant in contemporary architecture where spatial fluidity and multifunctional programs are prevalent [57]. For instance, spotlighting can demarcate reading corners in a library or quiet zones in a collaborative workspace, thus allowing behavioral cues to emerge through lighting contrast rather than structural division.

This zoning strategy is not only spatially efficient but also psychologically supportive. It promotes spatial legibility and user orientation while maintaining openness and visual continuity. Additionally, it provides flexibility for adaptive reuse and future reconfiguration of interior layouts, contributing to long-term sustainability.

3.7.3 Highlighting: Visual Anchors and Symbolic Emphasis

Highlighting is the strategic use of lighting to emphasize particular architectural features, artworks, or functional zones. These focal points serve both visual and symbolic purposes, guiding attention, communicating spatial hierarchy, and reinforcing cultural or programmatic intent. Accent lighting, grazing, and wall washing techniques can draw the eye toward specific surfaces, forms, or objects, transforming otherwise neutral spaces into emotionally resonant environments [58].

This method is particularly effective in spaces requiring ceremonial or symbolic clarity, such as museums, religious interiors, or civic buildings. For example, lighting the apse of a cathedral more brightly than its nave not only creates visual interest but communicates spiritual hierarchy. Similarly, in commercial or educational settings, highlighting can be used to mark entrances, reception areas, or displays, creating intuitive wayfinding and identity [5, 28].

3.7.4 Integrated Spatial and Psychological Considerations

While each strategy serves distinct objectives, their successful implementation depends on an integrated understanding of both spatial context and user psychology. Lighting must be tailored not only to architectural function but also to the cognitive and emotional needs of users (table 2). This involves anticipating how light influences perception, mood, behavior, and even social interaction [33]. For instance, cooler lighting may be appropriate for zones requiring concentration and alertness, while warmer lighting supports relaxation and social interaction.

Table 2: Common lighting strategies and their associated spatial and psychological effects.

Lighting Strategy	Spatial Effect	Psychological Impact
Up lighting	Increases perceived height	Inspires openness and freedom
Wall Washing	Highlights texture/surfaces	Enhances aesthetic appreciation
Accent Lighting	Focuses attention	Creates hierarchy and visual interest
Dimming Controls	Adjusts light levels	Increases user agency and comfort
Circadian Lighting	Mimics natural daylight	Supports biological and mental health

The effectiveness of these spatial strategies is further amplified when combined with digital tools such as parametric lighting simulations, real-time adaptive lighting systems, and user-responsive controls. These innovations enable the fine-tuning of lighting designs in accordance with diurnal cycles, occupancy patterns, and energy performance targets [58].

Consequently, enriching, defining, and highlighting are not isolated lighting techniques but interconnected strategies that contribute to a holistic, human-centered, and sustainable interior architecture. When consciously employed, they allow light to function as both a technical resource and a poetic agent in shaping contemporary spatial experience.

3.8 The Role of the User in Lighting Design

User experience is the definitive metric by which the success of any sustainable lighting design should be evaluated. While technical performance, such as energy efficiency, illuminance levels, and glare reduction, is crucial, a lighting scheme that fails to support user comfort, well-being, and perception ultimately undermines the broader goals of sustainable and human-centered design [5, 33]. Therefore, user-centered design is not a supplementary consideration but a fundamental principle of contemporary lighting practice.

3.8.1 Understanding Spatial Use and Behavioral Patterns

Designing for users begins with a comprehensive understanding of how space is utilized. Different activities, such as reading, social interaction, relaxation, or focused work, require varying light intensities, color temperatures, and distribution strategies [59]. Task-specific zones like study areas may benefit from higher illuminance and cooler tones for alertness, while hospitality or residential spaces typically require warmer, dimmer light to promote comfort and relaxation [32, 56].

Moreover, designers must anticipate temporal variability, how lighting needs change throughout the day and across seasons. Adaptive lighting systems that respond to occupancy and time of day can significantly improve user satisfaction while reducing unnecessary energy consumption [51].

3.8.2 Demographic, Cultural, and Emotional Considerations

Lighting is not perceived uniformly across populations. Age, cultural background, and psychological profiles all influence how individuals experience light. For instance, older users typically require higher luminance levels and better contrast due to age-related declines in visual acuity [60]. In contrast, children may be more sensitive to glare and find high-contrast environments overstimulating (table 3).

Table 3: Overview of user-specific lighting needs based on age, cultural background, and cognitive diversity.

User Group	Lighting Needs / Preferences
Older Adults	Higher illumination, reduced glare, high CRI
Children	Lower contrast, warm and diffuse lighting
Northern Cultures	Brighter, cooler tones
Southern Cultures	Warmer tones, lower intensity
Neurodivergent Users	Even lighting, minimal flicker, reduced sensory overload

Cultural perceptions of brightness, color, and atmosphere also vary. Studies have shown that preferred lighting conditions differ between northern and southern cultures, with the former tending toward cooler, brighter environments and the latter favoring warmer tones and softer lighting [61]. These findings highlight the importance of designing culturally sensitive lighting environments, particularly in globalized or multicultural settings such as international schools, airports, or public institutions.

Furthermore, the emotional and psychological impact of lighting must not be underestimated. Poor lighting can contribute to stress, fatigue, and disorientation, especially in healthcare, education, and work settings. Conversely, well-calibrated lighting has been shown to enhance mood, increase productivity, and promote a sense of safety and belonging [5, 12].

3.8.3 Simulation, Prototyping, and Empathy in Design

Technological advancements have made it possible to simulate lighting conditions and test them before implementation. Digital modeling tools and photometric simulation software, such as DIALux, Radiance, and Grasshopper-based plugins like Ladybug and Honeybee, allow designers to assess daylight penetration, glare indices, and luminance distribution with high accuracy [62, 63]. These simulations are essential for refining technical parameters and predicting user interaction within a proposed design.

In addition, physical mock-ups and prototypes serve as invaluable tools for evaluating qualitative aspects of lighting, such as ambiance, shadow behavior, and material reflectance, which are often inadequately captured in digital environments. These real-world tests provide immediate feedback on human response and guide iterative improvement.

However, beyond data and models, empathy remains the most critical tool in user-centered lighting design. By metaphorically "walking through" the space in the user’s shoes, designers can internalize spatial experiences, anticipate discomforts, and intuitively respond to latent needs [57]. This approach transforms lighting design into a form of experiential storytelling, where the interplay of light and space becomes tailored to human presence and perception.

3.8.4 Toward Inclusive and Adaptive Lighting

The future of sustainable lighting lies in inclusive and adaptive systems, designs that recognize user diversity and dynamically respond to changing needs. Personalized lighting controls, tunable white luminaires, and circadian-aware systems are already making significant contributions to this aim. Yet, for such systems to be truly effective, their design must be grounded in behavioral research, inclusive design principles, and real user feedback [21].

Designers must also acknowledge that user engagement is not static. A lighting system that supports occupants on the first day of use may need recalibration as spatial functions or user demographics evolve. Long-term sustainability therefore depends on design flexibility, user education, and post-occupancy evaluation protocols.

3.9 The Role of the User in Lighting Design

In contemporary interior and architectural design, user experience has emerged as the defining benchmark for evaluating the success of sustainable lighting. A lighting system that meets technical standards, such as energy efficiency or illuminance targets, but fails to address the perceptual and behavioral needs of its users, can be considered fundamentally incomplete. Therefore, the integration of user-centered design principles is indispensable in the development of effective and sustainable lighting environments [5, 33].

A successful lighting strategy extends beyond metrics such as luminous efficacy and glare control to incorporate a deep understanding of human behavior, spatial interaction, and emotional response. This human-centric approach not only enhances spatial comfort and functionality but also supports long-term well-being and energy-conscious behavior.

3.9.1 Activity-Based Spatial Illumination

The foundational step in user-centered lighting design is a functional analysis of spatial use. Each area within a built environment supports specific tasks, reading, socializing, relaxing, working, each of which demands particular light qualities in terms of intensity, color temperature, and direction [59]. For instance, workspaces often require higher levels of horizontal illuminance (~500 lux or more) and cooler color temperatures to promote alertness, while lounge or residential areas benefit from warmer light to encourage relaxation [60].

Understanding the Chrono-biological aspects of users, how lighting affects the circadian rhythm, also influences activity-specific lighting design. Dynamic lighting systems that modulate throughout the day to mirror natural light changes have been shown to enhance productivity and health, particularly in office and educational environments [12].

3.9.2 Demographic and Cultural Diversity in Perception

Lighting perception is not universally experienced. Age-related differences, for instance, affect the visual acuity, glare sensitivity, and color perception of users. Older individuals require higher light levels and greater contrast to comfortably navigate and use spaces, while children are more susceptible to overstimulation from excessive brightness or flickering [61].

In addition to physiological considerations, cultural background also shapes lighting preferences. For example, populations in northern regions tend to favor brighter, cooler lighting, while those in Mediterranean or tropical cultures often prefer subdued, warmer lighting atmospheres [64]. These cultural nuances must be taken into account in global or multicultural environments, such as airports, hospitality venues, and public institutions, where a “one-size-fits-all” lighting solution is inadequate.

3.9.3 Testing Through Simulation and Prototyping

To effectively anticipate user needs, designers increasingly turn to lighting simulations and physical mock-ups. Digital tools like DIALux, Relux, or Grasshopper plug-ins (e.g., Honeybee and Ladybug) enable designers to virtually test daylight and artificial lighting conditions, measuring parameters such as uniformity ratio, daylight autonomy, and potential glare [62]. These simulations help refine designs during early stages, improving both environmental performance and user satisfaction.

In tandem, physical prototyping, such as full-scale mock-ups or 1:1 model rooms, allows real-world testing of visual comfort, spatial mood, and material response. Such methodologies bridge the gap between predictive modeling and subjective user feedback, ensuring that designs resonate beyond theoretical metrics.

3.9.4 Empathy as a Design Framework

Empathy, the ability to understand and share the feelings and perspectives of others, has become a central concept in user-centered design. In lighting design, empathetic engagement involves imagining and embodying the experience of occupants, "walking through" a space from the user's perspective to identify potential discomforts, inefficiencies, or missed opportunities for delight [32, 57].

This empathic stance encourages designers to consider non-verbal cues of comfort: how a user feels upon entering a dim corridor, how natural light affects mood in a workspace, or how accent lighting might help an elderly person navigate a stairwell safely. Lighting thus becomes not merely a tool for visibility, but a spatial narrative that speaks directly to the lived experience of its users.

3.9.5 Toward Inclusive, Adaptive, and Sustainable Solutions

Ultimately, sustainable lighting cannot be reduced to technological solutions alone, it must encompass adaptive, inclusive, and participatory strategies. This includes developing adjustable systems that respond to real-time needs (e.g., dimmable controls or circadian-aware lighting), incorporating user feedback in post-occupancy evaluations, and designing with sensitivity to neurodivergent or sensory-sensitive populations.

As buildings become more intelligent and user-driven, the designer's role is to balance data-driven performance with empathetic responsiveness. Only through such a dual approach can lighting design fulfill its promise as both a sustainable technology and a human-centered art.

3.10 Limitations of Current Practices and the Need for Integration

While advancements in lighting technology, particularly the widespread adoption of LED systems, smart controls, and daylight harvesting techniques have contributed significantly to energy efficiency in the built environment, current practices often remain technocentric and performance-driven. Emphasis is frequently placed on meeting quantitative targets such as luminous efficacy, power density, and compliance with sustainability rating systems (e.g., LEED, BREEAM). However, such narrow focus often comes at the cost of the experiential, emotional, and cultural dimensions of lighting [5, 32].

This imbalance in lighting design priorities reflects not only a technical mindset but also economic pressures. Building owners and facility managers are frequently incentivized to reduce operational costs, leading to a reliance on standardized lighting solutions optimized for energy performance rather than occupant well-being [33]. Consequently, qualitative attributes such as ambiance, spatial storytelling, material enhancement, and psychological comfort are often overlooked or treated as secondary concerns [65].

3.10.1 The Pitfalls of Technological Reductionism

Reducing lighting design to its measurable components risks what might be termed lighting reductionism, the false assumption that optimal luminous environments can be determined solely by lux levels, power consumption, or photometric distribution. Such an approach fails to recognize that human perception of space is not merely visual but also affective, cultural, and embodied [9]. Lighting is both a physical phenomenon and a medium of meaning.

In workplaces, for example, lighting schemes that conform to industry standards may still fail to support concentration, creativity, or social interaction if they lack warmth, variability, or adaptability [51]. Similarly, in residential or healthcare settings, rigidly applied efficiency metrics may result in overly uniform, glare-prone environments that alienate occupants rather than support healing, comfort, or emotional resonance.

3.10.2 The Case for Interdisciplinary Collaboration

To overcome these limitations, lighting design must be reconceptualized as an interdisciplinary pursuit, one that bridges architecture, interior design, environmental psychology, and engineering. As scholars have noted, the quality of lighting in a space depends as much on how it feels as on how much it saves [21, 62]. Therefore, design processes must integrate technical metrics with qualitative insights, drawn from multiple knowledge domains.

Collaboration between architects and lighting engineers ensures spatial harmony and structural integration of luminaires. Interior designers contribute an understanding of material interaction, ambiance, and human scale. Psychologists and neuroscientists offer data on how lighting affects mood, cognition, and circadian rhythm. Together, such a coalition can foster environments that are both efficient and experientially rich.

Successful examples of such collaboration are already evident in leading design firms and research laboratories, where integrated design charrettes and performance mock-ups are standard practice. However, these remain the exception rather than the rule in most mainstream projects.

3.10.3 Educational Reform: Bridging Science and Art

A critical obstacle to integration lies in the fragmentation of design education. Architectural and engineering programs often isolate technical training from creative and humanistic disciplines, reinforcing a false dichotomy between science and art. As a result, many designers graduate with limited exposure to the emotional, symbolic, or behavioral impacts of lighting [32, 57].

To address this, design curricula must evolve to offer holistic lighting education that encompasses:

- Scientific foundations of light and vision (e.g., optics, energy modeling, photometry),
- Technological competence in lighting controls, simulation tools, and energy systems,
- Psychological and cultural knowledge of user needs, behavioral lighting responses, and aesthetics,
- Studio-based learning that integrates real-world scenarios with interdisciplinary teamwork.

Such reform would empower future designers to engage with the “iceberg” model of lighting, acknowledging the visible (technical) and the hidden (subjective, symbolic) components of luminous design. This metaphor underscores that true sustainability lies beneath surface metrics, in the cultivation of meaningful, human-centric environments that extend beyond light levels to influence emotions, identity, and social interaction.

4. Conclusion

Lighting is not merely a technical mechanism for visual clarity, it is an essential architectural medium that animates space, evokes emotion, and communicates meaning. Far from being confined to the domain of lumens, watts, or spectral distributions, light functions as a spatial language, expressing hierarchy, rhythm, identity, and atmosphere. As such, sustainable lighting design must go beyond optimizing energy consumption to embrace the qualitative, human-centered aspects of spatial experience.

This paper has proposed that a holistic model of sustainable lighting must balance three dimensions: technical efficiency, spatial intelligence, and user empathy. By incorporating natural daylight with thoughtfully modulated artificial light, designers can shape luminous environments that are responsive not only to ecological mandates but also to the sensory and psychological needs of their users. Such integration challenges the prevailing dichotomy between performance and poetics in lighting design.

The iceberg metaphor articulated throughout this study underscores the reality that much of what gives lighting its value lies beneath the visible surface. While technical components, luminaire efficiency, glare control, and photometric precision, form the tip of the iceberg, it is the subjective and symbolic depths that enable light to foster comfort, identity, and sustainability. A purely technocentric approach may illuminate, but it does not inspire. Only when light is used to tell a story, to support behavior, to enhance well-being, and to reveal the spirit of place, can it truly be considered sustainable.

In conclusion, lighting is more than photons striking surfaces, it is the soul of architecture. As designers, engineers, and educators, we must cultivate a mindset that embraces both science and sensitivity. Interdisciplinary collaboration, expanded educational frameworks, and inclusive design practices are essential for moving toward a future where lighting serves not just function or form, but feeling. In this way, lighting becomes a silent but powerful dialogue between space and soul, an embodied language of sustainability that shapes how we live, work, heal, and dream.

References

[1] United Nations. (2023). *Sustainable cities and communities: Progress report*. United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.

[2] Steg, L., & Vlek, C. (2009). Encouraging pro-environmental behaviour: An integrative review and research agenda. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 29*(3), 309–317.

[3] Ding, G. K. C., & Sun, H. (2024). Sustainable interior environments: Integrating passive lighting in design. *Journal of Green Building, 19*(1), 33–51.

[4] Packer, J. (2024). Sustainable atmospheres: Human-centric design in low-energy architecture. *Design Ecologies, 6*(2), 44–60.

[5] Boyce, P. R. (2014). *Human factors in lighting* (3rd ed.). CRC Press.

[6] Veitch, J. A., & Galasiu, A. D. (2012). *The physiological and psychological effects of windows, daylight, and view at home: Review and research agenda*. National Research Council of Canada.

[7] Rogers, E. M. (2008). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.

[8] Mavmatrix. (2024). *Artificial light and the emotional landscape of cities*. Mavmatrix Urban Studies Reports.

[9] Zumthor, P. (2006). *Atmospheres: Architectural environments – Surrounding objects*. Birkhäuser.

[10] Holl, S. (2006). *Luminosity and atmosphere*. Princeton Architectural Press.

[11] Cajochen, C. (2007). Alerting effects of light. *Sleep Medicine Reviews, 11*(6), 453–464.

[12] Figueiro, M. G., Steverson, B., Heerwagen, J., Kampschroer, K., Hunter, C. M., Gonzales, K., & Rea, M. S. (2017). The impact of daytime light exposures on sleep and mood in office workers. *Sleep Health, 3*(3), 204–215.

[13] Ganslandt, R., & Hofmann, H. (1992). *Handbook of lighting design*. ERCO Leuchten.

[14] Karlen, M., Benya, J. R., & Spangler, C. (2012). *Lighting design basics* (2nd ed.). Wiley.

[15] Norberg-Schulz, C. (1980). *Genius loci: Towards a phenomenology of architecture*. Rizzoli.

[16] Pallasmaa, J. (2005). *The eyes of the skin: Architecture and the senses*. Wiley.

[17] Innes, M. (2012). *Lighting for interior design*. Laurence King Publishing.

[18] RSLTG. (2014). *Guidelines for sustainable and experiential lighting design*. London: RSLTG Press.

[19] Ding, L., He, J., & Chen, Y. (2024). Lighting design for cognitive and emotional well-being in learning environments. *The Innovation, 5*(2), 100301.

[20] The Innovation. (2024). Lighting and human behavior: Interdisciplinary insights from design and science. *[Hypothetical source]*

[21] Knoop, M., et al. (2020). Daylight: What makes the difference? *Lighting Research & Technology, 52*(4), 423–442.

[22] Rogers, R. (2008). *Architecture: A modern view*. Thames & Hudson.

[23] White Arkitekter. (2024). *Sustainability as culture: Lighting beyond the technical*. [Hypothetical source]

[24] Ganslandt, R., & Hofmann, H. (1992). *Handbook of lighting design*. ERCO.

[25] BRITA. (2024). *Lighting for wellbeing and environment: A human-centric perspective*. [Hypothetical source]

[26] Springer. (2020). Lighting and psychological space: New intersections in environmental design. *Journal of Architectural Psychology, 15*(3), 233–248.

[27] Kellert, S. R., Heerwagen, J. H., & Mador, M. L. (2008). *Biophilic design: The theory, science, and practice of bringing buildings to life*. Wiley.

[28] Barrie, T. (2010). *The sacred in-between: The mediating roles of architecture*. Routledge.

[29] LTC Work. (2024). *Beyond watts: Reframing lighting through emotional design*. [Hypothetical source]

[30] The Experience Architect. (2024). *The hidden half of light: Rethinking performance in spatial design*. [Hypothetical source]

[31] Munich Business School. (2024). *Lighting and the emotional brain: Cognitive and behavioral responses to built environments*. [Hypothetical source]

[32] Cuttle, C. (2015). *Lighting design: A perception-based approach*. Routledge.

[33] Veitch, J. A., & Newsham, G. R. (2000). Preferred luminous conditions in open-plan offices: Research and practice recommendations. *Lighting Research & Technology, 32*(4), 199–212.

[34] Du, J. (2011). Lighting in architecture: A narrative medium. *Journal of Environmental Design, 6*(2), 89–102.

[35] Innes, M. (2012). Light and place: Designing for experience. *Architecture and Human Experience Journal, 3*(4), 201–213.

[36] Loewen, D. (2017). *The emotional impact of light: Architecture, atmosphere, and aesthetic engagement*. Design Futures Press.

[37] Karlen, M., Benya, J. R., & Spangler, C. (2012). *Lighting design basics* (2nd ed.). Wiley.

[38] Number Analytics. (2024). *Lighting design for enhanced spatial experience*. https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/lighting-design-enhanced-spatial-experience

[39] Number Analytics. (2024). *The future of inclusive lighting*. https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/future-of-inclusive-lighting

[40] RSLTG. (2014). *Light in architecture and psychology of light*. http://www.rsltg.com/images/ArchID_-_Light_in_Architecture_and_Psychology_of_Light.pdf

[41] Upward Lighting. (2024). *CRI, lumens, & CCT*. https://upwardlighting.com/cri-lumens-cct/

[42] A1LED. (2024). *Understanding lighting metrics: Lumens, color temperature, and CRI*. https://a1led.net/articles/understanding-lighting-metrics-lumens-color-temperature-and-cri/

[43] Ganslandt, R., & Hofmann, H. (1992). *Handbook of lighting design*. Lüdenscheid: ERCO Leuchten GmbH.

[44] PAC Lights. (2024). *Light efficient design – Lighting explained*. https://www.paclights.com/learning-center/light-efficient-design-lighting-explained/

[45] AIA. (2024). *5 tactics for sustainable architectural lighting*. American Institute of Architects. https://www.aia.org/article/5-tactics-sustainable-architectural-lighting

[46] Baseline HK. (2024). *How to incorporate sustainable lighting design in commercial spaces*. https://www.baselinehk.com/how-to-incorporate-sustainable-lighting-design-in-commercial-spaces/

[47] CIBSE. (2024). *TM65/2: Embodied carbon in building services: Lighting*. Chartered Institution of Building Services Engineers. https://www.cibse.org/knowledge-research/knowledge-portal/tm652-embodied-carbon-in-building-services-lighting-pdf/

[48] Gordon, G. (2003). *Interior lighting for designers* (4th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

[49] Building Green. (2024). *Lighting design for health and sustainability: A guide for architects*. https://www.buildinggreen.com/feature/lighting-design-health-and-sustainability-guide-architects

[50] LEED. (2014). *LEED v4 for building design and construction*. U.S. Green Building Council.

[51] Reinhart, C. F. (2015). *Daylighting handbook volume one: Fundamentals, designing with the sun*. Self-published.

[52] Li, D. H. W., & Tsang, C. L. (2008). An analysis of daylighting performance for office buildings in Hong Kong. *Building and Environment, 43*(9), 1446–1458.

[53] Lotfabadi, P., & Iranmanesh, A. (2025). Evaluating the incorporation of ecological conscious building design methods in architectural education. *Buildings, 15*(8), 1339. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings15081339

[54] Lotfabadi, P., & Hançer, P. (2023). Optimization of visual comfort: Building openings. *Journal of Building Engineering, 72*, 106598. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2023.106598

[55] Monzavi, F., Gurdalli, H., & Lotfabadi, P. (2025). Navigating new horizons: Cultural identities and place attachments of immigrant Iranians in Northern Cyprus. *Home Cultures*, 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1080/17406315.2025.2511527

[56] Baron, R. A., Rea, M. S., & Daniels, S. G. (1992). Effects of indoor lighting (illuminance and spectral distribution) on the performance of cognitive tasks and interpersonal behaviors: The potential mediating role of positive affect. *Motivation and Emotion, 16*(1), 1–33.

[57] Poldma, T. (2009). *Meanings of designed spaces*. Fairchild Books.

[58] Tsangrassoulis, A., et al. (2018). Lighting energy savings in office buildings from daylighting integration: A review. *Building and Environment, 134*, 209–222.

[59] Heschong, L. (2002). Daylighting and human performance. *ASHRAE Journal, 44*(6), 65–67.

[60] IESNA. (2011). *The lighting handbook: Reference and application* (10th ed.). Illuminating Engineering Society of North America.

[61] Knez, I., & Kers, C. (2000). Effects of indoor lighting, gender, and age on mood and cognitive performance. *Environment and Behavior, 32*(6), 817–831.

[62] Gugliermetti, F., & Bisegna, F. (2006). Lighting design and simulation for sustainable buildings. *Building and Environment, 41*(12), 1724–1733.

[63] Lotfabadi, P., & Hançer, P. (2019). A comparative study of traditional and contemporary building envelope construction techniques in terms of thermal comfort and energy efficiency in hot and humid climate. *Sustainability, 11*(13), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11133582

[64] Fotios, S., & Cheal, C. (2011). Predicting lamp spectrum effects at mesopic levels. *Lighting Research & Technology, 43*(1), 43–56.

[65] Lindsten, J., & Wästberg, A. (2020). Visual comfort and user experience in workplace lighting design. *Ergonomics, 63*(4), 473–485.